



RESEARCH PAPER**Electoral Integrity and Electoral Process in Pakistan: Democratic Issues and Challenges**

Zoya Shakeel, Amber Javed, Sheeza Ihsan

1. MS Scholar, Department of Politics And International Relations, Government College Women University, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan
2. MS Scholar, Department of Politics And International Relations, Government College Women University, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan
3. MS Scholar, Department of Politics And International Relations, Government College Women University, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author | aslamshakeel406@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study critically examines electoral integrity and the electoral process in Pakistan, focusing on the democratic challenges affecting free and fair elections. The objective of the study is to analyze how anti-democratic practices, elite influence, institutional weaknesses, and administrative irregularities undermine electoral credibility and democratic development. Using a qualitative research approach, the study analyzes past electoral processes, political developments, and scholarly perspectives to identify patterns of electoral manipulation and governance challenges. Particular attention is given to pre-election interference, political engineering, electoral restrictions, and selective accountability mechanisms. The research further explores how these practices weaken public trust, hinder democratic consolidation, and contribute to political instability in Pakistan. The study concludes that strengthening electoral integrity requires institutional independence, transparent electoral reforms, effective accountability mechanisms, and greater democratic participation to ensure a credible and sustainable democratic system in Pakistan

KEYWORDS Election, Manipulation, Political, Pakistan

Introduction

Established political organizations as well as traditional political systems have frequently interconnected to develop Pakistan's democratic system. Despite the fact that voting sessions take place on a daily basis, their authority is frequently into doubt because of persistent accusations concerning electoral meddling, such as propaganda, campaign control, along with powerful control over governing bodies.

Political manipulative engineering, referring to the impact that authoritative that both states and outside organizations on rivalry as well as decisions involving initially alongside afterwards policies, has eroded electoral procedures increasingly.

In the Pakistani context, a connection involving election honesty especially manipulation of politics generates a trend in mixed democratization democracy, in which electoral processes are adopted to justify dictated election results. An in-depth investigation within today's politics suggests the reality that, however Pakistan is a robust electoral system, its procedures have consistently hampered by political imbalances how emphasize "handling elections" across real parliamentary democracy, significantly harming credibility maintained by its authorities. Why I choose this topic, because Which is seriously critical

for Pakistan's nowadays electoral environment as well as contributes to understanding the issues as Pakistan still has facing its electoral establishment. The argument focuses on the method by which election results get impacted as a result of powerful governmental authorities, partisanship, news control, and imbalanced voting rights; these factors may erode confidence among citizens in elections.

Literature Review

Various illegal acts create manipulated voting operations the do not comply with globally recognized electoral ethics rules, such as corruption, illegal voting, voting fraud, voting envelope encoding, even electoral intimidation. The environment of humanity is now more curious to establish when, where, when, and the reason that votes are effective as well as be unsuccessful. The study highlights the initial outcomes of a recently published test investigation that utilizes a highly qualified evaluation on Assessments in Voting Honesty (PEI), a survey carried out in twenty nations. The information provides an analysis of a whole conventional hundred-point PEI measure for all vote count, as well as an increasingly in-depth examination of the findings on eleven characteristics of the democratic process or all of its 49 specific components.

That PEI indicators has high values of outside credibility, inner reliability, as well as authenticity. It suggests the following: particularly merged alongside additional knowledge, PEI may resolve different study challenges, including determining best methods to discover electorally corrupt dictators. That can additionally be informative during authorities engaged for determining "effectiveness" for fostering fair elections (Pippa Noris, 2014).

The resulting illegal election conduct and procedural failures will be rendered necessary by problems to Pakistan's electoral regulations as well as organizational procedures. The author states how election integrity as trust among citizens to governing bodies is eroded by inadequately enforced election legislation and ineffective systems for oversight (Mirbahar, 2017).

In Pakistan, the independence of elections was frequently weakened from systemic shortcomings within democracy, including systematic power meddling (Rizvi, 2000). Pakistan's freely elected prosperity became constantly hampered through insufficient governmental organizations as well as powerful aristocratic powers, contributing to weak competition for elections. Additionally, The author claims ineffective governmental structures or constant involvement through non-party political forces negatively impact political stability as well as weaken confidence in society on elections (Rizvi, 2011).

In accordance with this argument, researchers like (Waseem, 2022), suggest political elections across Pakistan have a tendency despite the framework of unbalanced power dynamics, particularly institutional control and favoritism alliances, including political influence impacting the elections. Furthermore, (Naseemullah & Chhibber, 2018), claim administrative oversight that delegated systems of government facilitate the ability of actors in politics to effect voting results by way of unofficial paths to force. The result emphasizes the significance of all government organizations in controlling voting habits away from legitimate political systems.

According to (Jalal, 1995), the use of force along with inadequate governmental structures has frequently hampered Pakistan's freely elected development, thus limiting the development of sustainable voting procedures. These institutional unstable environments arose from a current political situation, which means ballot boxes tend to be

influenced via imbalances in authority compared to completely partisan elections emphasizes partisanship as well as governmental intrusion.

The fact is that Pakistan's election traditions are dominated by stages of limited republicanism, meaning that voting sessions occur on a periodic basis while behind tightly controlled political settings. Executive unfairness and insufficient control methods, including arbitrary approval for elected officials, represent certain amongst such limitations (Rais, 2008).

Inequitable contests are typical at Pakistani electoral systems. Reportedly, the argument states the fact that government control, aristocratic bargaining power, and even executive pressure tend to impact results from elections, producing a phenomenon he refers to as "manipulated politics." The electoral system's tendency of functioning as a fair way of expressing political opinions is weakened by this type of situation (Waseem, 2006).

A substantial body of study emphasizes structural weakness to be the major concern for legitimate elections across Pakistan (Khan, 2003), and other reform-minded scholars claim that ineffective democratic regulation and the Election Commission of Pakistan's (ECP) inadequate organizational autonomy have frequently eroded elections' legitimacy.

Such shortcomings diminish openness to generate a possibility of organizational interference around contests. states in which the country's development in politics remains substantially determined by a persisting difference amongst private organizations as well as the armed forces elite. In *The Dispute in Pakistan*, she discusses how military power and a powerful hold on political decisions have always reduced the strength of chosen government officials and destabilized parliamentary systems such a disparity caused a residual effect in voting results using systemic changes; therefore, limits were imposed on public power in politics, hampering an honest, fair contest as well as undermining election credibility across Pakistan (Jalal, 2014).

The interactions between civilians' concepts study the equilibrium and authority amongst non-military as law enforcement organizations. According to (Huntington, 1957), stable democratic systems must have transparent organizational limits involving defense as well as citizen rule. Especially in democracies whose defense agencies possess governmental authority, elections are always susceptible to disruption.

In the view of genuine doctrines, open, equitable, honest, as well as competitive races remain at the basis of elections. According to (Dahl, 1971), freedom demands engagement with politics, open-ended debate, and a fair chance for citizens to affect leadership via contests. Voting fairness thus becomes vital for the validity of democracy because reputable polls establish representation and legitimacy as well as trust among voters.

Electoral manipulation in Pakistan represents an advanced mix of complex legislation and court as well as management processes directed at destabilizing various party groups, in particular the Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf, also known as PTI, during the last few elections and developing the centralized, unified legislative body.

Significant reasons for electoral manipulation consist of the following:

- **Legislative Crises and Unstable Conditions:** No government leader has ever been elected for a full five-year government tenure, partly due to well-planned no-confidence voting as well as campaigning creating a volatile climate.
- **Powerful institutions Separation:** Recent manipulation has been characterized as "more extreme and especially aggressive" compared to earlier periods, leading to extremely polarized elections that polarize a community and weaken politics.
- **Popular leadership Crises:** A popular leadership issue is that technological change often leads to the forced removal of prominent personalities, who are replaced by individuals exhibiting "standard guard" personality traits that may lack sufficient community approval, resulting in conflict between leaders and citizens.
- **Legitimacy Crises:** Once parliamentary requirements are ignored in favor of manipulated results, a question of legitimacy occurs, which leads to majority frustration, serious uprisings, and an ongoing danger of governance collapse.
- **Financial Side effects:** Unrest in politics generated through such scientific attempts is significantly caused by weaker financial expansion as well as worsening criminal activity.
- **The Press and Munciple Infrastructure issues:** Excessive manipulation tends to come alongside severe news controls, which include removing coverage for particular public figures (including Imran Ahmed Khan Niazi) and restricting stories regarding politics.
- **Neglecting Independence and Principles of Laws:** As reported to the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan (HRCP), the government's interference within electoral processes negatively impacted voting integrity, making sure "votes" tended to be around chosen candidates.

Material and Methods

It analyzes the integrity of elections as well as political propaganda for Pakistan by using a qualitative investigation. Qualitative investigation is necessary since this technique allows analysts to learn more about current affairs and governmental attitudes, along with election results and the problems. Research involves examining the voting conducted in Pakistan and examining the process by which voter manipulation influences elections that are open to the public. This research explores a function regarding organizations of politicians, the authorities, the news industry, legislature, including the commission responsible for elections throughout the voting phase. The research is based on secondary resources such as journal's, authors, previous publications, newspapers etc.

Results and Discussion

The main findings of this research are that the elections are not held free and fair in Pakistan due to continuous political engineering, political parties' own interests, interference of powerful institutions, and also a major problem of negative role of the Election Commission of Pakistan in election results.

Additionally relevant findings consist of using political manipulation having a major impact on poll results using campaign-related partnerships, sway over candidates, press coverage, and legal system enforcement, especially opponents' party limitations. Such behaviors contribute to an unstable state of affairs and undermine justice in competitive rivalries.

There is also a critical problem that triggered delays in results: insufficient poll staff management, as well as restrictions imposed on only one leading political party in

Pakistan, all contribute to the country's weakening electoral integrity and political instability. A comprehensive investigation in present results, records, including those of 2024, shows and a system that is framework that states that electoral manipulation happens prior to, while, and subsequent to the election, thereby hindering authentic voting rights. It is Paragon Transition: Rigging into the Engineering Process:

Engineering against its Controlling: Politicians, participants, and experts are increasingly shifting the focus away from constant polling. Controlling the polling process and moving on to constructing the whole thing, which is called "electoral planning." Instead of just filling up voting cases, that requires strategically eliminating a specified one party (such as the Party of the People's limitation, which is the banning associated with its elections mark) apart from an electoral landscape prior to the general election.

Specified Operation: That PTI (Pakistan Tehreek-e-Insaf) political group as well as the top position collapsed in a planned process through the jailing of important figures plus large-scale detention.

News and Election day shutdown: Electoral actions and riots, as well as news reports on rival politicians, were strictly banned, including digital problems.

Weak Elections Results: Vote observers, such as PILDAT, have reported how the 2024 general election has the worst justice rate before the year 2013.

Voting Transparency: Voting Day Strange Events. The day of voting had been defined through unforeseen, shocking, even incompatible activities.

Network and Cellphone service disconnects: That major, nationally distributed network service failure while on the outcomes announcement period created sudden concerns on rigging.

The distinctions between "form 45" and "form 47": From multiple sources, the true outcomes obtained at the voting locations (Form 45) shifted substantially over the ultimately processed outcomes.

Persecuted Human rights: The voting procedure regularly is unable to effectively advocate for women and minorities, including the "all-inclusive" First Past the Post (FPTP) based process, routinely neglecting it by focusing public opinion.

The Legalized Political Systems: The judiciary performed an imperative part of eliminating leaders and regulating campaign activities, including approving the marginalization of influential political performers and converting laws into election manipulation techniques.

Conclusion

As a conclusion, election fairness constitutes an important factor to Pakistan's ongoing political prosperity considering the constant consequences of political manipulation, hacking, and governmental tampering, particularly imbalanced partisanship. Despite the fact contests occur on a frequent schedule, voter confidence throughout the voting system remains inadequate due to issues such as integrity, justice, and free speech, especially the autonomous nature of constitutional systems.

These crucial studies indicate the way political propoganda has traditionally shaped voting results across Pakistan using campaign-related partnerships, influence over

party leaders, partial transparency, legal interference, and involvement of unauthorized agencies. The steps taken undermine citizens' capability to choose their own elected officials and therefore harm the reputation of independent elected officials. This article carries regarding asserting and establishing the legitimacy of elections, implying not merely having polls upon a time frame, as well as maintaining fair voting, neutral bodies, and freedom of speech, including freedoms for citizens. Recent voting events in Pakistan, specifically the February 2024 statewide elections, prompted tense discussions about restrictions imposed on opponents and restrictions on speech, including accusations of system rigging; many of them affected electoral integrity.

In the end, stable democratic principles across Pakistan become possible only where contests are honest, just, and open, as well as equitable, alongside choices by voters genuinely recognized and free of election manipulation as well as political meddling.

Recommendations

Recommendations to obtain Increasing Integrity.

- **Organizational Improvements towards ECP:** Providing the ECP requires being provided full independence and adequate participants nominated under legitimate legislature consent as well as being assigned sufficient assets.
- **Apply reliable fingerprint Verified Machine:** Technologies (BVS) such as Tamper-resistant Electrical Counting Instruments (EVMs) to increase performance and avoid counterfeiting.
- **Fair Guardians:** Make certain that custodian authorities remain neutral and unbiased while remaining entirely autonomous while another regime holds position.
- **Citizen Awareness about Voting and Participation:** Promoting citizen information, especially with regard to young citizens, is crucial, knowing that 44% of registrants in 2024 are predicted to be within the age of 35, contributing to higher voter participation, but also needing higher learning.
- **The Election expense Control:** In order to provide competitors having lower amounts of money fair chances, apply severe limits on the campaign costs.
- **Increased Inspection:** To help avoid personal discrimination as well as threats, poll agents are expected to be based on places besides where they work.
- **Voting Policy Reform:** Reinforce the power source (Elections Act of 2017), specifically with enhancing voting limits among women and minorities.

References

- Dahl, R. A. (1971). *Polyarchy: Participation and opposition*. Yale University Press.
- Huntington, S. P. (1957). Conservatism as an ideology. *The American Political Science Review*, 51(2), 454–473.
- Jalal, A. (1995). *Democracy and authoritarianism in South Asia: A comparative and historical perspective*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jalal, A. (2014). *The struggle for Pakistan: A Muslim homeland and global politics*. Harvard University Press.
- Khan, O. A. (2003). *Human rights, democracy and development*. Oxford University Press.
- Naseemullah, A., & Chhibber, P. (2018). Patronage, sub-contracted governance and the limits of electoral coordination. *Comparative Politics*, 51(1), 81–100.
- Norris, P. (2014). *Why electoral integrity matters*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rais, R. B. (2008). *Recovering the frontier state: War, ethnicity, and state in Afghanistan*. Oxford University Press.
- Rizvi, H. A. (2000). *Military, state and society in Pakistan*. Sang-e-Meel Publications.
- Waseem, M. (2006). *Democratization in Pakistan: A study of the 2002 elections*. Oxford University Press.
- Waseem, M. (2022). *Political conflict in Pakistan*. Oxford University Press.